arXiv:1603.05161v1 [math.PR] 16 Mar 2016

Dimension transformation formula for conformal maps into the complement of an SLE curve

Ewain Gwynne MIT Nina Holden MIT Jason Miller Cambridge

Abstract

We prove a formula relating the Hausdorff dimension of a deterministic Borel subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and the Hausdorff dimension of its image under a conformal map from the upper half plane to a complementary connected component of an SLE_κ curve for $\kappa \neq 4$. Our proof is based on the relationship between SLE and Liouville quantum gravity together with the one-dimensional KPZ formula of Rhodes-Vargas (2011) and the KPZ formula of Gwynne-Holden-Miller (2015). As an intermediate step we obtain a KPZ formula which relates the Euclidean dimension of a subset of an SLE_κ curve for $\kappa \in (0,4)$ to the dimension of the same set with respect to the γ-quantum length measure on the curve induced by an independent Gaussian free field, $\gamma = \sqrt{\kappa}$.

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

The Schramm-Loewner evolution (SLE_κ) is a family of conformally invariant random fractal curves in two dimensions, originally introduced in [Sch00]. SLE curves arise as the scaling limit of a variety of models in statistical physics; see, e.g., [LSW04, Smi10, SS05, SS09, Mil10].

There are various ways to quantify the precise manner in which the SLE_κ curve is fractal. Suppose, for concreteness, that η is a chordal SLE_κ from 0 to ∞ in $\mathbb{H}$ with hulls (K_t) and for $t > 0$ let $f_t : \mathbb{H} \setminus K_t \to \mathbb{H}$ be its time t centered Loewner map (i.e. $f_t(\eta(t)) = 0$, $f_t(\infty) = \infty$, and $\lim_{z\to\infty} f_t(z)/z = 1$). The most basic measure of the fractality of η is its Hausdorff dimension, which was shown to be $(1+\kappa/8)\wedge 2$ in [Bef08]. One can also consider the Hölder regularity of either η itself or the maps f_t^{-1}. The optimal Hölder exponent for the SLE curve is computed in [JVL11] (see also [RS05, Lin08] for intermediate results). The optimal Hölder exponent for f_t^{-1} is not known, but is estimated in [Lin08].

Another way to measure the fractality of η is the multifractal spectrum. This is the function which gives, for each $s \in [-1,1]$, the Hausdorff dimension of the set of points in $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|(f_t^{-1})'(x+iy)| = y^{-s+o_y(1)}$ as $y \to 0$. The multifractal spectrum was predicted non-rigorously by Duplantier in [Dup00] (see also [Dup99a, Dup99b] for earlier predictions in special cases) and computed rigorously in [GMS14]. There are a number of other quantities related to the multifractal spectrum which have been computed either rigorously or non-rigorously. These include the winding spectrum (predicted in [DB02, DB08]), higher multifractal spectra depending on the derivative behavior on both sides of the curve (predicted in [Dup03]), the integral means spectrum (rigorous computations of different versions given in [BS09a, GMS14, DNNZ12, LY13, LY14]), the multifractal spectrum at the tip (computed in [JVL12]), and the boundary multifractal spectrum (computed in [ABJ15]).

In this paper, we will consider a different measure of the fractality of η, namely the manner in which the Hausdorff dimension of a subset $Y \subset \mathbb{R}$ transforms under the inverse centered Loewner map f_t^{-1} when $f_t^{-1}(Y) \subset \eta$. We will prove a formula (Theorem 1.1) for $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} f_t^{-1}(Y)$ in terms of $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} Y$ when Y is chosen independently of η. We also prove a variant (Theorem 1.3) for a conformal map from $\mathbb{H}$ to a complementary connected component of a whole SLE_κ curve, $\kappa \in (0,4)\cup(4,8)$. Our formula appears to be closely related to the multifractal spectrum of SLE; see Problem 1 in Section 3.

Although our main results are statements about the conformal geometry of SLE, our proofs are based on the relationship between SLE and Liouville quantum gravity (LQG). For $\gamma \in (0,2)$ and a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$,

Liouville quantum gravity is the random Riemannian metric

$$e^{\gamma h}(dx^2 + dy^2), \tag{1.1}$$

where h is some variant of the Gaussian free field [She07, SS13] (GFF) on D and $dx^2 + dy^2$ is the Euclidean metric. The formula (1.1) does not make rigorous sense since h is only a distribution (in the sense of Schwartz), not a pointwise-defined function. However, it is shown in [DS11] that one can make rigorous sense of the volume form associated with LQG. In particular, there exists a measure μ_h on D which is the a.s. limit of regularized versions of $e^{\gamma h(z)}\, dz$, where dz is the Euclidean volume form. The measure μ_h is called the *γ-quantum area measure* induced by h. There is also a *γ-quantum length measure* ν_h defined on certain curves in D which is the a.s. limit of regularized versions of $e^{(\gamma/2)h(z)}\, |dz|$, where $|dz|$ is the Euclidean length element. It is shown in [She16] that ν_h can be defined on SLE_κ-type curves provided $\kappa \in (0, 4)$ and $\gamma = \sqrt{\kappa}$.

The KPZ formula [KPZ88] relates the Euclidean and quantum dimensions of a set $X \subset D$ independent from h. There are various rigorous versions of this formula using different notions of dimension; see [Aru13, BGRV14, BJRV13, BS09b, DMS14, DRSV14b, DS11, RV11, GHM15]. Our main result will be proven by means of two versions of the KPZ formula, which will be used to express $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} f_t^{-1}(Y)$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} Y$, respectively, in terms of the same quantum dimension. The first version of the KPZ formula (stated as Theorem 2.1 below) relates the Euclidean dimension of a subset of an SLE curve to the dimension of the same set with respect to the γ-quantum length metric on the curve induced by an independent GFF, $\gamma = \sqrt{\kappa}$. This formula will be deduced from another KPZ formula, that of [GHM15]. The other KPZ formula we will use directly is the boundary measure KPZ formula appearing in [RV11].

Acknowledgements

E.G. was supported by the U.S. Department of Defense via an NDSEG fellowship. N.H. was supported by a fellowship from the Norwegian Research Council. J.M. was partially supported by DMS-1204894. The authors thank Ilia Binder, Greg Lawler, Scott Sheffield, and Xin Sun for helpful discussions.

1.2 Main results

For $\kappa > 0$ and $d \in [0, 1]$, define

$$\Phi_\kappa(d) := \frac{1}{32\kappa}\left(4 + \kappa - \sqrt{(4+\kappa)^2 - 16\kappa d}\right)\left(12 + 3\kappa + \sqrt{(4+\kappa)^2 - 16\kappa d}\right). \tag{1.2}$$

We remark that $\Phi_\kappa = \Phi_{16/\kappa}$. Our first theorem relates the Hausdorff dimension of a deterministic set $Y \subset \mathbb{R}$ and the Hausdorff dimension of the subset of an SLE curve obtained by "zipping up" the set Y into the curve by means of a conformal map.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\kappa > 0$, $\kappa \neq 4$, and let η be a chordal SLE_κ from 0 to ∞ in $\mathbb{H}$, parameterized by half-plane capacity (resp. a radial SLE_κ from 1 to 0 in $\mathbb{D}$, parameterized by minus log conformal radius, or a whole-plane SLE_κ from 0 to ∞ parameterized by capacity). Let $t > 0$ and let f_t be the time t centered Loewner map for η. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $Y \subset \partial\mathbb{D}$) be a deterministic Borel set. Almost surely, on the event $\{f_t^{-1}(Y) \subset \eta\}$ we have*

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} f_t^{-1}(Y) = \Phi_\kappa\left(\dim_{\mathcal{H}} Y\right) \tag{1.3}$$

with Φ_κ as in (1.2).

Remark 1.2. For $\gamma \in (0, 2)$ and $d \in [0, 1]$, let

$$\Psi_\gamma(d) := \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4}\right) d - \frac{\gamma^2}{4} d^2 \tag{1.4}$$

be the quadratic function appearing in the one dimensional KPZ formula. With $\gamma = \sqrt{\kappa}$ and Ψ_γ as in (1.4), the function of (1.2) is given by $\Phi_\kappa(d) = 2\Psi_\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\Psi_\gamma^{-1}(d)\right)$, where Ψ_γ^{-1} is chosen so as to take values in $[0, 1]$. Our proof of Theorem 1.1 reflects this relationship. Indeed, we prove the theorem by expressing both $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} f_t^{-1}(Y)$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} Y$ in terms of the γ-quantum dimension of Y with respect to the length measure induced by a certain GFF coupled with η. This is done using the KPZ formulas of Theorem 2.1 below and [RV11, Theorem 4.1], respectively. Theorem 2.1 is itself proven using [GHM15, Theorem 1.1].

We also have a variant of Theorem 1.1 when we consider a complementary connected component of a whole SLE curve, rather than a curve stopped at a fixed time. We state the theorem for chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho^L;\rho^R)$ and whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho)$ processes, which are constructed for $\rho^L, \rho^R > -2$ and $\rho > -2$ in [MS16a, Section 2.2] and [MS13, Section 2.1], respectively (see also Section 1.3). See Figure 1 for an illustration.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\kappa \in (0,4) \cup (4,8)$, and suppose that we are in one of the following situations.*

- *$D \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain which is not all of $\mathbb{C}$, $\rho^L, \rho^R > -2$, and η is a chordal $SLE_\kappa(\rho^L;\rho^R)$ in D with some choice of starting point and target point and force points immediately to the left and right of the starting point.*
- *$D = \mathbb{C}$, $\rho > -2$, and η is a whole-plane $SLE_\kappa(\rho)$ between two points in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$.*

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the set of connected components of $D \setminus \eta$. For $U \in \mathcal{U}$, let x_U (resp. y_U) be the point visited by η at the time at which it starts (resp. finishes) tracing ∂U. Define the following subsets of $\mathcal{U}$.

- *$\mathcal{U}^+$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}^-$) is the set of $U \in \mathcal{U}$ for which $x_U \neq y_U$ and the counterclockwise (resp. clockwise) arc of ∂U from x_U to y_U is traced by η.*
- *$\mathcal{U}^0$ is the set of $U \in \mathcal{U}$ for which $x_U = y_U$.*

For $U \in \mathcal{U}^- \cup \mathcal{U}^+$, let $g_U : \mathbb{H} \to U$ be a conformal map which takes 0 to x_U and ∞ to y_U (chosen in some manner which depends on η), and if $U \in \mathcal{U}^0$ let $g_U : \mathbb{H} \to U$ be a conformal map which takes ∞ to $x_U = y_U$. Let $Y \subset [0,\infty)$ be a deterministic Borel set. Then a.s.

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathcal{H}} g_U(aY) &= \Phi_\kappa\left(\dim_{\mathcal{H}} Y\right), \quad \text{for each } U \in \mathcal{U}^+ \text{ and Lebesgue a.e. } a > 0, \\ \dim_{\mathcal{H}} g_U(-aY) &= \Phi_\kappa\left(\dim_{\mathcal{H}} Y\right), \quad \text{for each } U \in \mathcal{U}^- \text{ and Lebesgue a.e. } a > 0, \\ \dim_{\mathcal{H}} g_U(aY+b) &= \Phi_\kappa\left(\dim_{\mathcal{H}} Y\right), \quad \text{for each } U \in \mathcal{U}^0 \text{ and Lebesgue a.e. } (a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

where Φ_κ is as in (1.2).



Figure 1: An illustration of the statement of Theorem 1.3 in the case of a chordal SLE_κ η and $\kappa \in (4,8)$. The theorem relates the Hausdorff dimension of the set $Y \subset [0,\infty)$ and the set $g_U(aY+b)$ for almost all $a, b > 0$. The set U on the left figure is contained in $\mathcal{U}^0$, and the map $g_U : \mathbb{H} \to U$ is defined such that $g_U(\infty) = x_U = y_U$. The complementary connected component of η shown in green (resp. orange) is contained in $\mathcal{U}^+$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}^-$). The blue (resp. red) dots represent the points x_U (resp. y_U) for each of the three considered domains.

In the setting of Theorem 1.3, topological considerations imply that for $U \in \mathcal{U}$, either the counterclockwise or clockwise arc of ∂U from x_U to y_U (or both) is traced by η, so $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^+ \cup \mathcal{U}^- \cup \mathcal{U}^0$. For $U \in \mathcal{U}^+$ (resp. $U \in \mathcal{U}^-$), the function g_U maps $[0,\infty)$ (resp. $(-\infty, 0]$) to this counterclockwise (resp. clockwise) arc. The set $\mathcal{U}^0$ is empty if $\kappa \in (0,4)$ and for $\kappa > 4$ consists of "bubbles" surrounded by either the left or right side of η if $\kappa \in (4,8)$.

For $U \in \mathcal{U}^+$, the set of conformal maps $\mathbb{H} \to U$ which take 0 to x_U and ∞ to y_U is the same as the set of maps of the form $z \mapsto g_U(az)$ for $a > 0$. Hence a.s. $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} g(Y) = \Phi_\kappa\left(\dim_{\mathcal{H}} Y\right)$ for "Lebesgue a.e." conformal

map $g : \mathbb{H} \to U$ taking 0 to x_U and ∞ to y_U. Similar statements hold for $\mathcal{U}^-$ and $\mathcal{U}^0$. We leave it as an open problem to determine whether this relation in fact holds a.s. for every such conformal map simultaneously (see Problem 2 in Section 3). We note, however, that (1.5) (and the analogous relation in Theorem 1.1) does not hold a.s. for all choices of Y simultaneously. Indeed, taking Y to be one of the multifractal spectrum sets $\widetilde{\Theta}^s \subset \mathbb{R}$ for $s \in [-1, 1]$ studied in [GMS14] gives a counterexample.

Remark 1.4. Theorem 1.1 can be used to give another derivation of the double point dimension of SLE_κ for $\kappa \in (4, 8)$. Indeed, the double points of such an SLE correspond to intersection points with the boundary which are subsequently mapped into the domain by the reverse Loewner flow. The dimension of the intersection of an SLE_κ for $\kappa \in (4, 8)$ with the domain boundary was shown to be $2 - 8/\kappa$ in [AS08] and $\Phi_\kappa(2 - 8/\kappa) = 2 - (12 - \kappa)(4 + \kappa)/(8\kappa)$, which is the dimension of the double points of SLE_κ [MW14].

The cut point dimension of SLE_κ for $\kappa \in (4, 8)$ can similarly be derived using Theorem 1.3. Indeed, it is shown in [MS16a] that the conditional law of the left boundary of a chordal version of such an SLE given its right boundary is that of an $\mathrm{SLE}_{16/\kappa}(16/\kappa - 4; -8/\kappa)$ process. The dimension of the intersection of an $\mathrm{SLE}_{16/\kappa}(16/\kappa - 4; -8/\kappa)$ with $[0, \infty)$ was shown in [MW14] to be $5 - 8/\kappa + \kappa/2$ and $\Phi_\kappa(5 - 8/\kappa + \kappa/2) = 3 - 3\kappa/8$, which is the dimension of the cut points of an SLE_κ derived in [MW14].

1.3 SLE/LQG background

In this subsection we briefly review some facts about SLE and LQG which will be needed for the proofs of our main results. We refer to the cited papers for more details. See also [GHM15, Sections 1.2 and 1.4] for a more detailed overview.

We first recall the definition of chordal and radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\underline{\rho})$ for $\kappa > 0$ and a finite vector of weights $\underline{\rho} = (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n)$ and force points $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ in the closure of the domain. Such processes were first introduced in [LSW03, Section 8.3]. See also [SW05] and [MS16a, Section 2.2]. As defined in [MS16a, Section 2.2], the *continuation threshold* for an $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\underline{\rho})$ is the first time that the sum of the weights of the force points which have been disconnected from the target point by the curve is ≤ -2. This time was defined in [MS16a, Section 2.2], and is the largest time up to which $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\underline{\rho})$ is defined as a continuous curve. Note that the continuation threshold may be infinite. We also recall the definition of whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho)$ for $\rho > -2$ [MS13, Section 2.1].

Now fix $\gamma \in (0, 2)$. A *Liouville quantum gravity surface* is an equivalence class of pairs (D, h) consisting of a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a distribution h on D, with two such pairs (D, h) and $(\widetilde{D}, \widetilde{h})$ declared to be equivalent (i.e. different parameterizations of the same surface) if there is a conformal map $\phi : \widetilde{D} \to D$ such that

$$\widetilde{h} = h \circ \phi + Q \log |\phi'|, \quad \text{for} \quad Q = \frac{2}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma}{2}. \tag{1.6}$$

We refer to the distribution h as *embedding* of the quantum surface.

The quantum area and length measures μ_h and ν_h of [DS11] are preserved under transformations of the form (1.6), in the sense that it is a.s. the case that for each Borel set $A \subset \widetilde{D}$, we have $\mu_h(\phi(A)) = \mu_{\widetilde{h}}(A)$ and similarly for ν_h; see [DS11, Proposition 2.1]. Hence these measures are well-defined on the LQG surface (D, h). One can also define quantum surfaces with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ marked points in $D \cup \partial D$ by requiring that the conformal map ϕ takes the marked points for one surface to those for the other.

For $\alpha < Q$, an *α-quantum cone* is an infinite-volume doubly-marked quantum surface parameterized by $\mathbb{C}$ which describes the local behavior of $\widetilde{h} - \alpha \log |\cdot|$ near 0, where $\widetilde{h}$ is a whole-plane GFF (see [DMS14, Section 4.2] for a precise definition). The *circle average embedding* of an α-quantum cone is the particular choice of distribution h defined in [DMS14, Definition 9]. This is a convenient choice of embedding since with this choice the law of the field restricted to $\mathbb{D}$ is equal to that of a whole-plane GFF plus a log singularity, also restricted to $\mathbb{D}$. The circle average embedding is also the one used in [GHM15, Theorem 1.1]. Similarly, an α-quantum wedge is an infinite-volume quantum surface parameterized by $\mathbb{H}$, which describes the behavior of $\widetilde{h} - \alpha \log |\cdot|$ near 0, for $\widetilde{h}$ a free-boundary GFF on $\mathbb{H}$ (see [She16, Section 1.6] or [DMS14, Section 4.2]). As explained in [DMS14, Section 4.4], one can also define an α-quantum wedge for $\alpha \in (Q, Q + \gamma/2)$. In this case, the wedge is not parameterized by $\mathbb{H}$ and instead consists of an infinite ordered sequence of finite-volume "beads", each of which has the topology of the disk and has finite quantum area and boundary length.

Quantum disks are finite-volume quantum surfaces parameterized by the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ (or equivalently any simply connected domain in $\mathbb{C}$), which are most often taken to have one or two marked boundary points (which are sampled uniformly from the quantum boundary measure). One can consider quantum disks with specified area, boundary length, or both. Quantum spheres are finite-volume quantum surfaces parameterized by the Riemann sphere, often taken to have fixed area and sometimes taken to have one, two, or three marked points. See [DMS14, Section 5].

In this paper our main interest in the above quantum surfaces stems from their relationship with SLE_κ. If one cuts an α-quantum cone by an independent whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho)$ curve for $\kappa = \gamma^2 \in (0,4)$ and appropriate $\rho > -2$ depending on α, then one obtains an α'-quantum wedge for a certain value of α' depending on α [DMS14, Theorem 1.12]. Similarly, one can cut an α-quantum wedge by an independent chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho^L;\rho^R)$ curve (with force points immediately to the left side and the right side, respectively, of the starting point of the curve) to get a pair of independent quantum wedges [DMS14, Theorem 1.9] for certain $\rho^L, \rho^R > -2$. In the case when $\alpha \in (Q, Q+\gamma/2)$, one replaces the $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho^L;\rho^R)$ curve with a concatenation of such curves, one in each bead.

If $\gamma \in (\sqrt{2}, 2)$ and one cuts an α-quantum cone by an independent $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho)$ curve for $\kappa = 16/\gamma^2 \in (4,8)$ and appropriate $\rho > -2$, one gets three independent beaded quantum surfaces corresponding to the complementary connected components of the curve whose boundaries are traced by the left side of the curve, the right side of the curve, and both sides of the curve, respectively. One of these surfaces is an α'-quantum wedge for $\alpha' > Q$ and the other two are Lévy trees of quantum disks [DMS14, Theorem 1.18]. A similar statement holds for an α-quantum wedge cut by an independent $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho^L;\rho^R)$ for $\kappa = 16/\gamma^2$ and appropriate $\rho^L, \rho^R > -2$ [DMS14, Theorem 1.17].

For $\kappa > 4$ whole-plane space-filling SLE_κ from ∞ to ∞ is a variant of SLE_κ which fills all of $\mathbb{C}$, introduced in [MS13, Sections 4.3 and 1.2.3] (c.f. [DMS14, Footnote 9]). In the case when $\kappa \geq 8$, so SLE_κ is already space-filling, whole-plane space-filling SLE_κ is a two-sided version of chordal SLE_κ. In the case when $\kappa \in (4,8)$, whole-plane space-filling SLE_κ is obtained by iteratively filling in each of the bubbles disconnected from ∞ by a two-sided variant of chordal SLE_κ with a space-filling SLE_κ loop (so in particular cannot by described by the Loewner equation). It is immediate from the construction that the marginal law of the left (resp. right) boundary of η' stopped upon hitting a fixed point $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is that of a whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_{16/\kappa}(2-16/\kappa)$ from z to ∞ (c.f. [MS13, Theorem 1.1]).

Suppose $(\mathbb{C}, h, 0, \infty)$ is a γ-quantum cone, $\gamma \in (0,2)$, and η' is an independent whole-plane space-filling SLE_κ, parameterized by quantum mass with respect to h (so that $\mu_h(\eta'([s,t])) = t - s$ for $s < t$). For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let L_t (resp. R_t) be the change in the quantum length of the left (resp. right) outer boundary of $\eta'((-\infty, t])$ relative to time 0. Then $Z_t = (L_t, R_t)$ is a correlated two-dimensional Brownian motion with correlation $-\cos(4\pi/\kappa)$ [DMS14, Theorem 1.13]. (The formula $-\cos(4\pi/\kappa)$ for the correlation of the Brownian motion was only proved for $\kappa \in (4,8]$ in [DMS14]. That the same formula holds for $\kappa > 8$ was established in [GHMS15].) Furthermore, Z a.s. determines (h, η') modulo rotation [DMS14, Theorem 1.14]. Many quantities associated with η' can be described explicitly in terms of Z. For example, the curve η' hits the left (resp. right) outer boundary of $\eta'((-\infty, 0])$ precisely when L (resp. R) hits a running infimum relative to time 0. See [GHM15] for further examples. The above facts are collectively referred to as the *peanosphere description* of (h, η').

2 Proofs

2.1 KPZ formula for quantum lengths along an SLE curve

In this subsection we will prove a KPZ formula for the quantum length measure along an SLE_κ curve for $\kappa \in (0,4)$, which is needed for our proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. We state the theorem at a high level of generality: we allow for chordal, radial, and whole-plane SLE_κ (possibly with force points); and quantum lengths measured with respect to a free- or zero-boundary GFF, as well as with respect to the distributions which parameterize the various quantum surfaces defined in [DMS14, Sections 4 and 5] (which are variants of the GFF). See Section 1.3 for a brief review of the objects involved in the theorem statement.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\kappa \in (0,4)$, let $\underline{\rho}$ be a finite vector of weights, and let η be a chordal or radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\underline{\rho})$ in a simply connected domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ (with some choice of starting point, target point and force points),*

stopped when it hits the continuation threshold (which may be infinite). Let h be a free-boundary GFF on D (with the additive constant fixed in some arbitrary way) independent from η and let ν_h be the γ-quantum length measure induced by h, $\gamma = \sqrt{\kappa}$. Suppose that η is parameterized by ν_h-length, so that $\nu_h(\eta([0,t])) = t$ for each $t > 0$. Let X be a random subset of $\eta \setminus \partial D$ which is independent from h. Then almost surely,

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} X = \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4}\right) \dim_{\mathcal{H}} \eta^{-1}(X) - \frac{\gamma^2}{8} (\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \eta^{-1}(X))^2. \tag{2.1}$$

The same holds if we make one or both of the following changes (where $Q = 2/\gamma + \gamma/2$ is as in (1.6)).

- *Replace η by a whole-plane $SLE_\kappa(\rho)$ for $\rho > -2$ and replace h by a whole-plane GFF.*
- *In the chordal or radial case, replace h by a zero-boundary GFF on D or the distribution on D which parameterizes a quantum disk (with fixed area, boundary length, or both), an α-quantum wedge for $\alpha \leq Q$, or a single bead of an α-quantum wedge for $\alpha \in (Q, Q + \gamma/2)$. In the whole-plane case, replace h by the distribution on $\mathbb{C}$ which parameterizes a quantum sphere or an α-quantum cone for $\alpha < Q$.*

Theorem 2.1 will be proven using the KPZ-type formula [GHM15, Theorem 1.1] together with [DMS14, Theorem 1.13] and a version of Kaufman's theorem for subordinators [HP74, Theorem 4.1]. We remark that an analogue of Theorem 2.1 when η is a flow line of h (in the sense of [MS16a, MS16b, MS16c, MS13]) instead of an SLE curve independent from h is proven in [Aru13].

Remark 2.2. The right side of (2.1) is equal to $2\Psi_\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \eta^{-1}(X)\right)$, with Ψ_γ as in (1.4).

We will first prove Theorem 2.1 in the special case of whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(2-\kappa)$ on an independent γ-quantum cone. This case is particularly convenient because it exactly fits into the framework of the peanosphere construction (Section 1.3), which is also the setting of [GHM15, Theorem 1.1].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\kappa \in (0,4)$ and let η be a whole-plane $SLE_\kappa(2-\kappa)$ from 0 to ∞. Let $(\mathbb{C}, h, 0, \infty)$ be a γ-quantum cone independent from η with the circle average embedding (i.e. h is the distribution defined in [DMS14, Definition 9]) and let ν_h be its γ-quantum length measure, $\gamma = \sqrt{\kappa}$. Suppose that η is parameterized by ν_h-length, so that $\nu_h(\eta([0,t])) = t$ for each $t > 0$. If $X \subset \eta$ is a set which is independent from h, then $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} X$ and $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \eta^{-1}(X)$ are a.s. related by the formula (2.1).*

Proof. Let $\gamma = \sqrt{\kappa}$. Let η' be a whole-plane space-filling $\mathrm{SLE}_{16/\kappa}$ from ∞ to ∞, independent from h and parameterized by quantum mass with respect to h in such a way that $\eta'(0) = 0$. By [DMS14, Footnote 9], the right outer boundary of $\eta'((-\infty, 0])$ is the flow line from 0 to ∞ of a certain whole-plane GFF, so by [MS13, Theorem 1.1] it has the law of a whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(2-\kappa)$ curve. Therefore we can couple η with (η', h) in such a way that η is a.s. equal to this right outer boundary. In this coupling η is determined by η' (viewed modulo parameterization) and hence is independent from h. We assume that η is parameterized by γ-quantum length with respect to h.

For $t \geq 0$, let R_t be the change in the right boundary length of η' between time 0 and time t, as in [DMS14, Theorem 1.13]. That theorem tells us that R has the law of a deterministic constant multiple of a standard linear Brownian motion. For $r \geq 0$, let

$$S_r := \inf\{t \geq 0 : R_t = -r\}.$$

Equivalently, S_r is the first time that η' covers up r units of quantum length along its right boundary, or, a.s. for each fixed r, the infimum of the times for which $\eta(r)$ is no longer on the left right boundary of η'. In particular, since η is parameterized by quantum length we have $\eta'(S_r) = \eta(r)$.

The process S has the law of a stable subordinator of index $1/2$ (see e.g., [Ber99, Section 2.2]). By [HP74, Theorem 4.1], we a.s. have

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} S(A) = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathcal{H}} A, \quad \text{for every Borel set } A \subset [0,\infty) \text{ simultaneously.}$$

In particular, if $X \subset \eta$ is chosen in a manner which is independent from h, then a.s.

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} (\eta')^{-1}(X) = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathcal{H}} (\eta' \circ S)^{-1}(X) = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathcal{H}} \eta^{-1}(X).$$

By [GHM15, Theorem 1.1], we a.s. have

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} X = \left(2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{2}\right) \dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\eta')^{-1}(X) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2}(\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\eta')^{-1}(X))^2.$$

Combining these relations yields the statement of the lemma. □

Now we will deduce the general case of Theorem 2.1 from Lemma 2.3 and various elementary Markov property and absolute continuity arguments.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By the absolute continuity of any of the listed choices for the distribution h on bounded domains bounded away from the domain boundary and the marked points, it suffices to prove the statement of the theorem for any of the listed choices of h. For simplicity we restrict attention to the case when h is either a whole-plane GFF (in the whole-plane case) or a zero-boundary GFF on the domain D of η (in the chordal or radial case). By conformal invariance and the LQG coordinate change formula (see (1.6)) we only need to prove the statement for each chordal or radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\underline{\rho})$ process in a single choice of domain D.

First consider the case where η is a radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(2-\kappa)$ from 1 to ∞ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, with force point located at $z \in \partial\mathbb{D} \setminus \{1\}$. Let $\widetilde{\eta}$ be a whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(2-\kappa)$ from 0 to ∞ parameterized by capacity seen from ∞ and let τ the the smallest $t \geq 0$ for which the centered Loewner map f_t from the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \widetilde{\eta}((-\infty, t])$ to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ maps the force point of $\widetilde{\eta}$ to z. Note that it follows from scale invariance and the domain Markov property that $\tau < \infty$ a.s. By the domain Markov property we can couple η with $\widetilde{\eta}$ in such a way that $\eta = f_\tau(\widetilde{\eta}|_{[\tau,\infty)})$ a.s.

Let $\widetilde{h}$ be a whole-plane GFF independent from $\widetilde{\eta}$ and let $h' := \widetilde{h} \circ f_\tau^{-1} + Q \log |(f_\tau^{-1})'|$. By the Markov property and conformal invariance of the GFF, the conditional law of h' given $\widetilde{\eta}([-\infty, \tau])$ and $\widetilde{h}|_{\widetilde{\eta}([-\infty,\tau])}$ is that of a zero-boundary GFF h on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ plus a function which is harmonic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. If $X \subset \eta$ is determined by η, viewed modulo parameterization, then $f_t^{-1}(X)$ is a subset of $\widetilde{\eta}$ which is independent from $\widetilde{h}$. By Lemma 2.3 and local absolute continuity, the formula (2.1) holds a.s. with $f_t^{-1}(X)$ in place of X, $\widetilde{\eta}$ in place of η, and $\widetilde{h}$ in place of h. By the LQG coordinate change formula we can apply the map f_τ to obtain (2.1) with h' in place of h. Since ν_h and $\nu_{h'}$ differ by multiplication by a smooth function, we obtain (2.1) for X, η, and h.

Now suppose that η is a chordal or radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\underline{\rho})$ in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 1, with arbitrary choice of target point, weights, and force points located at positive distance from 1, stopped when it hits the continuation threshold. By the Schramm-Wilson coordinate change formula [SW05, Theorem 3] we immediately reduce to the case of radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\underline{\rho})$. Let h be a zero-boundary GFF on $\mathbb{D}$ independent from η. Fix some $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}\setminus\{1\}$. Let $V \subset \mathbb{D}$ be a simply connected subdomain such that $\partial V \cap \partial\mathbb{D}$ contains a neighborhood of 1 in $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and V lies at positive distance from the target point and all of the force points of η and from z. Let τ_V be the exit time of η from V. By the form of the Loewner driving function for general radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\underline{\rho})$, we find that the law of $\eta|_{[0,\tau_V]}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of a radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(2-\kappa)$ in $\mathbb{D}$, started from 1, targeted at a point at positive distance from V, with force point at z, stopped at the first time it exits V. Therefore, the statement of the theorem for η follows from the statement for radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(2-\kappa)$ (proven just above) provided we require that $X \subset \eta([0, \tau_V])$.

Now consider the case where η is a chordal or radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\underline{\rho})$ in $\mathbb{D}$ starting from 1 with completely arbitrary choices target point, weights, and force points (even force points precisely on either side of the starting point), stopped when it hits the continuation threshold. Let $(f_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the centered Loewner maps for η. For $\epsilon > 0$, let $\tau_0^\epsilon = \sigma_0^\epsilon = 0$. Inductively, if $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and τ_{k-1}^ϵ and σ_{k-1}^ϵ have been defined, let τ_k^ϵ be the minimum of ϵ^{-1} and the smallest $t > \sigma_{k-1}^\epsilon$ for which the driving function W_t lies at distance at least ϵ from the image of each of the force points of η under f_t and let σ_k^ϵ be the minimum of ϵ^{-1} and the smallest $t > \tau_k^\epsilon$ for which W_t lies within distance $\epsilon/2$ of at least one of the images of the force points of η under f_t. Note that each τ_k^ϵ and σ_k^ϵ is a stopping time for η. By the domain Markov property of $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\underline{\rho})$, the LQG coordinate change formula, and the preceding paragraph, we find that the statement of the corollary holds for η provided we require that $X \subset \eta([\tau_k^\epsilon, \sigma_k^\epsilon])$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking a limit as $\epsilon \to 0$ and using countable stability of Hausdorff dimension yields the statement of the theorem in the case of general chordal or radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\underline{\rho})$.

Finally, the case of whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho)$ for $\rho > -2$ with $\rho \neq 2-\kappa$ follows from the case of radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho)$ and an argument as in the case of radial $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(2-\kappa)$. □

2.2 Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3

In this subsection we combine the KPZ formulas of Theorem 2.1 and [RV11, Theorem 4.1] to prove our formulas for the dimension of a set when it is "zipped up" into an SLE curve.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose first that we are in the chordal case. Let $\gamma = \sqrt{\kappa}$ (if $\kappa \in (0,4)$) or $\gamma = 4/\sqrt{\kappa}$ (if $\kappa \in (4,8)$). Let Q be as in (1.6) for this choice of γ. Let h_0 be a free-boundary GFF independent from η and let $h := h_0 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \log|\cdot|$. Also let ν_h be the γ-quantum length measure induced by h.

Recall that for each capacity time $t > 0$, the inverse centered Loewner map f_t^{-1} has the same law as the time t centered Loewner map for a reverse SLE_κ flow [RS05]. For $t > 0$, let $h^t := h \circ f_t^{-1} + Q \log|(f_t^{-1})'|$. By [She16, Theorem 1.2], for each $t > 0$ we have $h^t \overset{d}{=} h$, modulo additive constant.

In the case when $\kappa \in (0,4)$, we assume that η is parameterized by ν_h-length (which is well-defined by [She16, Theorem 1.3]). In the case when $\kappa > 4$, we assume that η is parameterized by half-plane capacity and for $t > 0$ we let η_t^R be the be the right outer boundary of the hull generated by $\eta([0,t])$, viewed as a curve from $\eta(t)$ to the rightmost point of $\eta([0,t]) \cap \mathbb{R}$. By SLE duality, η_t^R for a fixed capacity time $t > 0$ is an $\mathrm{SLE}_{16/\kappa}$-type curve. More precisely, the construction in [MS13, Sections 1.2.3 and 4.3] implies that we can find a chordal space-filling SLE_κ curve η' which traces points in the same order as η (in the case $\kappa \geq 8$, we have $\eta' = \eta$ and, when $\kappa \in (4,8)$, η' can be obtained by iteratively filling in the "bubbles" disconnected from ∞ by η). For $t > 0$ let σ_t be the time such that $\eta'([0,\sigma_t])$ is the hull generated by $\eta([0,t])$ and for $z \in \mathbb{H}$ let τ_z be the time when η' hits z. Also let $\acute{\eta}_{\tau_z}^R$ be the right outer boundary of $\eta'([0,\tau_z])$. The curve η_t^R is a.s. covered by a countable union of curves of the form $\acute{\eta}_{\tau_z}^R$ for $z \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \cap \mathbb{H}$. By the construction of space-filling SLE [MS13, Theorem 4.1] the law of each curve $\acute{\eta}_{\tau_z}^R$ stopped at the first time it exits a bounded set at positive distance from $\mathbb{H}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to that of a whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_{16/\kappa}(2-16/\kappa)$ stopped at the same time. In particular, Theorem 2.1 applies to the field h and the curve η_t^R. We henceforth assume that η_t^R is parameterized by quantum length with respect to h (which is well-defined by pushing forward the quantum length measure of h under f_t^{-1}).

Now let Y be as in the statement of the lemma and assume without loss of generality that $Y \subset [0,\infty)$. Let h^t be defined as in the beginning of the proof and let

$$\widehat{Y}^t := \{\nu_{h^t}([0,y]) \,:\, y \in Y\}\,.$$

By the LQG coordinate change formula and since η is parameterized by γ-quantum length with respect to h, on the event $\{f_t^{-1}(Y) \subset \eta\}$ we a.s. have

$$\widehat{Y}^t = \begin{cases} C_t - \eta^{-1}(f_t^{-1}(Y)), & \kappa \in (0,4) \\ (\eta_t^R)^{-1}(f_t^{-1}(Y)), & \kappa > 4 \end{cases}$$

where here $C_t > 0$ is the total quantum length of η run up to capacity time t. Therefore, Theorem 2.1 implies that a.s. on the event $\{f_t^{-1}(Y) \subset \eta\}$, we have

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} f_t^{-1}(Y) = \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4}\right) \dim_{\mathcal{H}} \widehat{Y}^t - \frac{\gamma^2}{8} (\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \widehat{Y}^t)^2. \tag{2.2}$$

Since changing the additive constant (recall that $h^t \overset{d}{=} h$, modulo additive constant) amounts to scaling the γ-quantum length measure by a positive constant, [RV11, Theorem 4.1] (see also [GHM15, Remark 1.2]) implies that a.s.

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} Y = \Psi_\gamma\left(\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \widehat{Y}^t\right), \tag{2.3}$$

with Ψ_γ as in (1.4). Combining (2.2) and (2.3) yields the statement of the theorem in the chordal case (here we note that $\Phi_\kappa = \Phi_{16/\kappa}$). The radial version follows from the same argument but with the radial reverse SLE/GFF coupling [MS16d, Theorem 5.1] used in place of [She16, Theorem 1.2]. The whole-plane case is immediate from the radial case since for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $f_s(\eta|_{[s,\infty)})$ has the law of a radial SLE_κ. □

We next prove Theorem 1.3. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.1, but since we are interested in a complementary connected component of the whole curve (rather than the curve run up to a fixed time) we use a quantum cone or a quantum wedge instead of a free-boundary GFF. There are minor additional complications arising from the possibility that η intersects itself.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose we are in the whole-plane case and that $\kappa \in (0,4)$. We note that in this case, η intersects itself (and hence has more than one complementary connected component) if and only if $\rho < \kappa/2 - 2$ [MS13, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6]. Let $\gamma = \sqrt{\kappa}$ and let Q be as in (1.6). Let $(\mathbb{C}, h, 0, \infty)$ be a $\big(Q - \frac{1}{2\gamma}(\rho+2)\big)$-quantum cone (so the weight of the quantum cone, as defined in [DMS14], is $\rho+2$), independent from η. Throughout we assume that η is parameterized by γ-quantum length with respect to h.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the σ-algebra generated by the ordered sequence of quantum boundary lengths of elements of $\mathcal{U}$ with respect to h, so that $\mathcal{F}$ is trivial if $\rho \geq \kappa/2-2$, and we order the elements of $\mathcal{U}$ by the time at which η finishes tracing their boundary. Let $U \in \mathcal{U}$ be chosen in an $\mathcal{F}$-measurable manner. For $a > 0$, let

$$g_{U,a} := g_U(a\cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{h}^a := h \circ g_{U,a} + Q \log |g'_{U,a}|$$

with $g_U : \mathbb{H} \to U$ as in the statement of the theorem. By [DMS14, Theorem 1.12], the conditional law given $\mathcal{F}$ of the quantum surface $(\mathbb{H}, \widetilde{h}^a, 0, \infty)$ is that of a $\big(\frac{\gamma}{2} + Q - \frac{1}{\gamma}(\rho+2)\big)$-quantum wedge (if $\rho \geq \kappa/2-2$) or a single bead of such a wedge with given quantum boundary length (if $\rho \in (-2, \kappa/2-2)$).

For $r > 0$, let $\widetilde{h}^a_r(0)$ be the semi-circle average of $\widetilde{h}^a$ over $\partial B_r(0) \cap \mathbb{H}$ [DS11, Section 6]. Let $A > 0$ be chosen so that the following is true.

- If $\rho \geq \kappa/2-2$ then 1 is the largest $r > 0$ for which $\widetilde{h}^A_r(0) + Q\log(r) \leq 0$.
- If $\rho < \kappa/2-2$, then the function $r \mapsto \widetilde{h}^A_r(0) + Q\log(r)$ attains its maximum at $r = 1$.

An appropriate A exists since the corresponding field $\widetilde{h}^A$ is the field associated with the standard embedding in $\mathbb{H}$ of the wedge or bead of a wedge as described in [DMS14, Section 4.3 or 4.4]. For each bounded subset of $\mathbb{H}$ at positive distance from $\{0\} \cup \partial\mathbb{D}$, the law of the field $\widetilde{h}^A$ restricted to this set is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of a free-boundary GFF with additive constant chosen such that the semicircle average over $\mathbb{H} \cap \partial\mathbb{D}$ is zero, restricted to the same set (see [DMS14, Sections 4.2 and 4.4]). For $b > 0$, we have $\widetilde{h}^{bA} = \widetilde{h}^A(b^{-1}\cdot) + Q\log b^{-1}$. By the conformal invariance of the GFF and since the law of a GFF plus a deterministic constant is mutually absolutely continuous with respect to the law of a GFF when restricted to bounded sets, it follows that we have the same absolute continuity statement with $\widetilde{h}^{bA}$ in place of $\widetilde{h}^A$ and $\mathbb{H} \cap B_b(0)$ in place of $\mathbb{H} \cap \mathbb{D}$ for each fixed $b > 0$.

Now let $Y \subset [0,\infty)$ be as in the statement of the lemma and note that (since we are in the whole-plane case and $\kappa \in (0,4)$) all of ∂U is traced by η and $x_U \neq y_U$, so $U \in \mathcal{U}^- \cap \mathcal{U}^+$. For $a > 0$, let

$$\widehat{Y}^a := \left\{ \nu_{\widetilde{h}^a}([0,y]) \,:\, y \in Y \right\}.$$

By the LQG coordinate change formula and since η is parameterized by γ-quantum length with respect to h, for each $a > 0$ it is a.s. the case that

$$\widehat{Y}^a = \eta^{-1}(g_{U,a}(Y)) - C \tag{2.4}$$

where $C \geq 0$ is a random constant. In particular $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \widehat{Y}^a = \dim_{\mathcal{H}} \eta^{-1}(g_{U,a}(Y))$ a.s., so Theorem 2.1 implies that a.s.

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} g_{U,a}(Y) = \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4}\right) \dim_{\mathcal{H}} \widehat{Y}^a - \frac{\gamma^2}{8} (\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \widehat{Y}^a)^2 \quad \text{for Lebesgue a.e. } a > 0. \tag{2.5}$$

By [RV11, Theorem 4.1] and the above absolute continuity considerations, for each fixed $b > 0$ it is a.s. the case that

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} Y = \Psi_\gamma \left(\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \widehat{Y}^{bA} \right),$$

with Ψ_γ as in (1.4). Therefore, a.s.

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}} Y = \Psi_\gamma \left(\dim_{\mathcal{H}} \widehat{Y}^{a} \right) \quad \text{for Lebesgue a.e. } a > 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Since there are only countably many possible choices of $U \in \mathcal{U}$, combining (2.5) and (2.6) yields the statement of the theorem in the whole-plane case when $\kappa \in (0,4)$.

When $\kappa \in (0,4)$, the statement in the chordal case is proven via the same argument, except that we start with a $2\gamma + 2Q - \frac{1}{\gamma}(\rho^L + \rho^R - 4)$-quantum wedge (weight $\rho^L + \rho^R - 4$) parameterized by a distribution h on $\mathbb{H}$ and apply [DMS14, Theorem 1.9] instead of [DMS14, Theorem 1.12]. In the case when the parameter of the wedge is in $(Q, Q + \gamma/2)$ (so that it consists of a string of beads) we take h to be the distribution with parameterizes a single bead of this wedge.

When $\kappa \in (4,8)$, we set $\gamma = 4/\sqrt{\kappa}$ instead of $\gamma = \sqrt{\kappa}$. The whole-plane (resp. chordal) case is treated using a similar argument to the one above except that we start with a $\big(Q - \frac{\gamma}{8}(\rho + 2)\big)$-quantum cone (resp. a $\big(\frac{4}{\gamma} - \frac{\gamma}{4}(\rho^L + \rho^R)\big)$-quantum wedge, or a single bead of such a wedge in the beaded case) and apply [DMS14, Theorem 1.18] (resp. [DMS14, Theorem 1.17]). Here we note that $\Phi_\kappa = \Phi_{16/\kappa}$ and that by SLE duality [Zha08, Zha10, Dub09, MS16a, MS13], $\partial U \cap \eta$ is an $\mathrm{SLE}_{16/\kappa}$-type curve, so we can apply Theorem 2.1 in essentially the same manner as in the case when $\kappa \in (0,4)$. See the proof of Theorem 1.1 for a similar argument. □

3 Open questions

Here we list some open problems which are related to the main results of this paper.

1. Consider the following heuristic argument for computing the multifractal spectrum of SLE (originally obtained rigorously in [GMS14]) using Theorem 1.3. Recall that the multifractal spectrum of, say, a whole-plane SLE_κ curve η is the function $\xi = \xi_\kappa : [-1,1] \to [0,\infty)$ defined by $\xi(s) = \dim_{\mathcal{H}} \widetilde{\Theta}^s$, where

$$\widetilde{\Theta}^s := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \,:\, \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{|f'(x + i\epsilon)|}{\log \epsilon^{-1}} = s \right\}$$

   for $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \eta$ a conformal map (it is easy to see that the definition of ξ does not depend on f). Suppose we are given a deterministic Borel set $Y \subset \mathbb{R}$. The points of each set $\widetilde{\Theta}^s$ should be evenly spread out over $\mathbb{R}$, and we know how much f expands small intervals centered at points of $\widetilde{\Theta}^s$. So, it should be possible to derive a formula for $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} f\left(Y \cap \bigcup_{t \in [s-\delta, s+\delta]} \widetilde{\Theta}^t\right)$ in terms of $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(Y)$, ξ, and δ for each given $s \in [-1,1]$ and $\delta > 0$. Sending $\delta \to 0$ and maximizing over s yields a formula for $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} f(Y)$ in terms of $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} Y$ and ξ. On the other hand, Theorem 1.3 gives a formula for $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} f(Y)$ in terms of $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} Y$ and κ (for a large number of possible choices of f). Comparing these two formulas and letting $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} Y$ vary should allow one to recover ξ. Can the above argument be made rigorous?

2. Does the formula of Theorem 1.3 hold a.s. for every choice of the conformal map $g_U : \mathbb{H} \to U$ simultaneously? The proof of the theorem shows that to obtain an affirmative answer to this question it would be enough to show that for a fixed choice of set $X \subset [0,\infty)$, the KPZ formula of [RV11, Theorem 4.1] (c.f. [GHM15, Remark 1.2]) holds simultaneously a.s. for the image of X under every conformal map $\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ which sends X into $[0,\infty)$. Similarly, does the statement of Theorem 1.1 hold a.s. for all times t simultaneously?

3. None of Theorems 1.1, 1.3, or 2.1 applies in the case when $\kappa = 4$. Can these theorems be extended to the case $\kappa = 4$, possibly using critical ($\gamma = 2$) LQG [DRSV14a, DRSV14b]?

4. Is there a version of Theorem 2.1 for SLE_κ with $\kappa \in (4,8)$ equipped with the *quantum natural time parameterization* introduced in [DMS14]? (The so-called quantum natural time is the $\kappa \in (4,8)$ analog of quantum length.) Note that the $\kappa \geq 8$ version of Theorem 2.1 is the main result of [GHM15].

5. Is there a version of Theorem 2.1 for the so-called *natural parameterization* of SLE [LS11, LZ13, LR12]. (Note that quantum length, quantum natural time, and quantum area are respectively the Liouville quantum gravity analogs of the natural parameterization for $\kappa \in (0,4)$, $\kappa \in (4,8)$, and $\kappa \geq 8$.)

References

[ABJ15] T. Alberts, I. Binder, and F. Johansson Viklund. A Dimension Spectrum for SLE Boundary Collisions. *ArXiv e-prints*, January 2015, 1501.06212.

[Aru13] J. Aru. KPZ relation does not hold for the level lines and the SLE_κ flow lines of the Gaussian free field. *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2013, 1312.1324.

[AS08] T. Alberts and S. Sheffield. Hausdorff dimension of the SLE curve intersected with the real line. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 13:no. 40, 1166–1188, 2008, 0711.4070. MR2430703 (2009e:60025)

[Bef08] V. Beffara. The dimension of the SLE curves. *Ann. Probab.*, 36(4):1421–1452, 2008, math/0211322. MR2435854 (2009e:60026)

[Ber99] J. Bertoin. Subordinators: examples and applications. In *Lectures on probability theory and statistics (Saint-Flour, 1997)*, volume 1717 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–91. Springer, Berlin, 1999. MR1746300 (2002a:60001)

[BGRV14] N. Berestycki, C. Garban, R. Rhodes, and V. Vargas. KPZ formula derived from Liouville heat kernel. *ArXiv e-prints*, June 2014, 1406.7280.

[BJRV13] J. Barral, X. Jin, R. Rhodes, and V. Vargas. Gaussian multiplicative chaos and KPZ duality. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 323(2):451–485, 2013, 1202.5296. MR3096527

[BS09a] D. Beliaev and S. Smirnov. Harmonic measure and SLE. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 290(2):577–595, 2009, 0801.1792. MR2525631 (2011c:60265)

[BS09b] I. Benjamini and O. Schramm. KPZ in one dimensional random geometry of multiplicative cascades. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 289(2):653–662, 2009, 0806.1347. MR2506765 (2010c:60151)

[DB02] B. Duplantier and I. Binder. Harmonic measure and winding of conformally invariant curves. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 89(264101), 2002, cond-mat/0208045.

[DB08] B. Duplantier and I. Binder. Harmonic measure and winding of random conformal paths: A Coulomb gas perspective. *Nucl.Phys. B*, 802:494–513, 2008, 0802.2280.

[DMS14] B. Duplantier, J. Miller, and S. Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity as a mating of trees. *ArXiv e-prints*, September 2014, 1409.7055.

[DNNZ12] B. Duplantier, C. Nguyen, N. Nguyen, and M. Zinsmeister. The Coefficient Problem and Multifractality of Whole-Plane SLE and LLE. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2012, 1211.2451.

[DRSV14a] B. Duplantier, R. Rhodes, S. Sheffield, and V. Vargas. Critical Gaussian multiplicative chaos: convergence of the derivative martingale. *Ann. Probab.*, 42(5):1769–1808, 2014, 1206.1671. MR3262492

[DRSV14b] B. Duplantier, R. Rhodes, S. Sheffield, and V. Vargas. Renormalization of critical Gaussian multiplicative chaos and KPZ relation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 330(1):283–330, 2014, 1212.0529. MR3215583

[DS11] B. Duplantier and S. Sheffield. Liouville quantum gravity and KPZ. *Invent. Math.*, 185(2):333–393, 2011, 1206.0212. MR2819163 (2012f:81251)

[Dub09] J. Dubédat. Duality of Schramm-Loewner evolutions. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 42(5):697–724, 2009, 0711.1884. MR2571956 (2011g:60151)

[Dup99a] B. Duplantier. Harmonic Measure Exponents for Two-Dimensional Percolation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 82(3940), 1999, cond-mat/9901008.

[Dup99b] B. Duplantier. Two-Dimensional Copolymers and Exact Conformal Multifractality. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 82(880), 1999, cond-mat/9812439.

[Dup00] B. Duplantier. Conformally invariant fractals and potential theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 84(7):1363–1367, 2000, cond-mat/9908314.

[Dup03] B. Duplantier. Higher conformal multifractality. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 110(3–6):691–738, 2003, cond-mat/0207743.

[GHM15] E. Gwynne, N. Holden, and J. Miller. An almost sure KPZ relation for SLE and Brownian motion. *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2015, 1512.01223.

[GHMS15] E. Gwynne, N. Holden, J. Miller, and X. Sun. Brownian motion correlation in the peanosphere for $\kappa > 8$. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2015, 1510.04687.

[GMS14] E. Gwynne, J. Miller, and X. Sun. Almost sure multifractal spectrum of SLE. *ArXiv e-prints*, December 2014, 1412.8764.

[HP74] J. Hawkes and W. E. Pruitt. Uniform dimension results for processes with independent increments. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete*, 28:277–288, 1973/74. MR0362508 (50 #14948)

[JVL11] F. Johansson Viklund and G. F. Lawler. Optimal Hölder exponent for the SLE path. *Duke Math. J.*, 159(3):351–383, 2011, 0904.1180. MR2831873

[JVL12] F. Johansson Viklund and G. F. Lawler. Almost sure multifractal spectrum for the tip of an SLE curve. *Acta Math.*, 209(2):265–322, 2012, 0911.3983. MR3001607

[KPZ88] V. Knizhnik, A. Polyakov, and A. Zamolodchikov. Fractal structure of 2D-quantum gravity. *Modern Phys. Lett A*, 3(8):819–826, 1988.

[Lin08] J. R. Lind. Hölder regularity of the SLE trace. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 360(7):3557–3578, 2008. MR2386236 (2009f:60048)

[LR12] G. F. Lawler and M. A. Rezaei. Minkowski content and natural parameterization for the Schramm-Loewner evolution. *ArXiv e-prints*, November 2012, 1211.4146.

[LS11] G. F. Lawler and S. Sheffield. A natural parametrization for the Schramm-Loewner evolution. *Ann. Probab.*, 39(5):1896–1937, 2011, 0906.3804. MR2884877

[LSW03] G. Lawler, O. Schramm, and W. Werner. Conformal restriction: the chordal case. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(4):917–955 (electronic), 2003, math/0209343v2". MR1992830 (2004g:60130)

[LSW04] G. F. Lawler, O. Schramm, and W. Werner. Conformal invariance of planar loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees. *Ann. Probab.*, 32(1B):939–995, 2004, math/0112234. MR2044671 (2005f:82043)

[LY13] I. Loutsenko and O. Yermolayeva. Average harmonic spectrum of the whole-plane SLE. *J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp.*, (4):P04007, 17, 2013, 1203.2756. MR3077814

[LY14] I. Loutsenko and O. Yermolayeva. New exact results in spectra of stochastic Loewner evolution. *J. Phys. A*, 47(16):165202, 15, 2014. MR3191679

[LZ13] G. F. Lawler and W. Zhou. *SLE* curves and natural parametrization. *Ann. Probab.*, 41(3A):1556–1584, 2013, 1006.4936. MR3098684

[Mil10] J. Miller. Universality for SLE(4). *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2010, 1010.1356.

[MS13] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Imaginary geometry IV: interior rays, whole-plane reversibility, and space-filling trees. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2013, 1302.4738.

[MS16a] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Imaginary Geometry I: Interacting SLEs. *Prob. Theory and Related Fields*, to appear, 2016, 1201.1496.

[MS16b] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Imaginary geometry II: reversibility of $\mathrm{SLE}_\kappa(\rho_1;\rho_2)$ for $\kappa \in (0,4)$. *Ann. Prob.*, to appear, 2016, 1201.1497.

[MS16c] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Imaginary geometry III: reversibility of SLE_κ for $\kappa \in (4,8)$. *Ann. Math.*, to appear, 2016, 1201.1498.

[MS16d] J. Miller and S. Sheffield. Quantum Loewner Evolution. *Duke Math. J.*, to appear, 2016, 1312.5745.

[MW14] J. Miller and H. Wu. Intersections of SLE paths: the double and cut point dimension of SLE. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 2014, 1303.4725. To appear.

[RS05] S. Rohde and O. Schramm. Basic properties of SLE. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 161(2):883–924, 2005, math/0106036. MR2153402 (2006f:60093)

[RV11] R. Rhodes and V. Vargas. KPZ formula for log-infinitely divisible multifractal random measures. *ESAIM Probab. Stat.*, 15:358–371, 2011, 0807.1036. MR2870520

[Sch00] O. Schramm. Scaling limits of loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees. *Israel J. Math.*, 118:221–288, 2000, math/9904022. MR1776084 (2001m:60227)

[She07] S. Sheffield. Gaussian free fields for mathematicians. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 139(3-4):521–541, 2007. MR2322706 (2008d:60120)

[She16] S. Sheffield. Conformal weldings of random surfaces: SLE and the quantum gravity zipper. *Ann. Prob.*, to appear, 2016, 1012.4797.

[Smi10] S. Smirnov. Conformal invariance in random cluster models. I. Holomorphic fermions in the Ising model. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 172(2):1435–1467, 2010, 0708.0039. MR2680496 (2011m:60302)

[SS05] O. Schramm and S. Sheffield. Harmonic explorer and its convergence to SLE_4. *Ann. Probab.*, 33(6):2127–2148, 2005, math/0310210. MR2184093 (2006i:60013)

[SS09] O. Schramm and S. Sheffield. Contour lines of the two-dimensional discrete Gaussian free field. *Acta Math.*, 202(1):21–137, 2009, math/0605337. MR2486487 (2010f:60238)

[SS13] O. Schramm and S. Sheffield. A contour line of the continuum Gaussian free field. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 157(1-2):47–80, 2013, math/0605337. MR3101840

[SW05] O. Schramm and D. B. Wilson. SLE coordinate changes. *New York J. Math.*, 11:659–669 (electronic), 2005, math/0505368. MR2188260 (2007e:82019)

[Zha08] D. Zhan. Duality of chordal SLE. *Invent. Math.*, 174(2):309–353, 2008, 0712.0332. MR2439609 (2010f:60239)

[Zha10] D. Zhan. Duality of chordal SLE, II. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, 46(3):740–759, 2010, 0803.2223. MR2682265 (2011i:60155)